Information Need Description	
Information Need	
Questions Addressed	
Information Category	Schedule and Progress Resources and Cost
Description	

Measurable Concept	
Measurable	Work Unit Progress
Concept	Personnel

Entities and Attributes	
Relevant Entities	
Attributes	

Base Measure Specification	
Base Measures	Component Status Effort
Measurement	
Methods	
Type of Method	
Scale	
Type of Scale	
Unit of	
Measurement	
Categorization	
Typical	
Aggregation	
Structure	
Typically	
Collected for	
Each	
Count Actuals	
Based on	

Derived Measure Specification	
Derived	
Measure	

Measurement	
Function	

Indicator Specification	
	Projects often need to assess or predict their ability to complete the current phase in order to anticipate or uncover staffing, cost, and schedule issues associated with future phases. In this scenario, four indicators (Figures 5-49a through 5-49d) assess completion of the project's design phase; two indicators deal with the amount of work completed to date, and two indicators deal with staffing. These indicators are analyzed together to assess whether the project will complete design activities as scheduled.
	The line graph in figure 5-49a compares the actual number of units completing design over time to the planned number. It indicates that actual progress is significantly behind in August. The plan is for all units to be complete by the end of September. This does not seem realistic.
	Figure 5-49b uses the same data as the previous figure, but the data is broken out by configuration item (CI). The data indicates that all CIs are behind schedule, but CI B is the worst.
	Figure 5-49c tracks the overall project staffing level over time. The project was significantly understaffed in May, June, and July.
Analysis guidance and examples	Figure 5-49d plots the same data by labor category. Figure 5-49c indicates that the project is currently staffed with approximately the right number of people, according to the plan. However, when actual staff is divided into labor categories, Figure 5-49d shows that the design team has fewer senior-level staff than planned.
	Based on all this information, the current plan does not appear realistic. A replan for the remaining project activities is recommended, taking into consideration work remaining, current staffing levels, and current staff experience.
	Design Progress by Date Design Process by Configuration Item
	200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
	Staff Level Plan Versu Actual
Analysis Model	
Decision Criteria	

Indicator	
Interpretation	

Data Collection Procedure (for each Base Measure) Complete this section for each base measure listed on the previous page.	
Frequency of	
Data Collection	
Responsible	
Individual	
Phase or Activity	
in which	
Collected	
Tools Used in	
Data Collection	
Verification and	
Validation	
Repository for	
Collected Data	

	Data Analysis Procedure (for each Indicator)
Frequency of	
Data Reporting	
Responsible	
Individual	
Phase or Activity	
in which	
Analyzed	
Source of Data	
for Analysis	
Tools Used in	
Analysis	
Review, Report,	
or User	

Additional Information	
Additional Analysis Guidance	Additional Analysis Additional analysis of the staff decrease in May revealed a significant turnover of experienced personnel that month. Instead of assigning new analysts to the design, the programmers scheduled to join the project in July were brought on early and assigned to the design tasks. This had a negative impact. The programmers did not have the experience to perform these tasks, and the designers had to bring the new team members up to speed. Looking at related indicators, like staffing, helps to identify the cause of schedule problems.
Implementation Considerations	
Project Application	Applies to most types of projects
Process integration	
Usually Applied During	
Alternatives Include	







